

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 0 796 433 B1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT SPECIFICATION

(45) Date of publication and mention
of the grant of the patent:
12.07.2000 Bulletin 2000/28

(51) Int Cl.7: **G01R 23/16**

(86) International application number:
PCT/US95/15933

(21) Application number: **95942591.9**

(87) International publication number:
WO 96/18110 (13.06.1996 Gazette 1996/27)

(22) Date of filing: **07.12.1995**

(54) PLANT PARAMETER DETECTION BY MONITORING OF POWER SPECTRAL DENSITIES

**ANLAGE-PARAMETER-ERMITTLUNG DURCH ÜBERWACHUNG DER DICHTEN VON
SPEKTRAL-LEISTUNGEN**

**EVALUATION DES PARAMETRES D'UNE INSTALLATION PAR CONTROLE CONTINU DES
DENSITES SPECTRALES DE PUISSANCE**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
BE DE ES FR GB IT NL SE

(30) Priority: **09.12.1994 US 353474**

(43) Date of publication of application:
24.09.1997 Bulletin 1997/39

(73) Proprietor: **EXXON CHEMICAL PATENTS INC.**
Baytown, TX 77520-5200 (US)

(72) Inventors:
• **BARTUSIAK, Raymond, Donald**
Houston, TX 77062 (US)
• **NICHOLSON, Douglas, Hugh**
Fife KY12 9YD (GB)

(74) Representative: **White, Nicholas John, Dr. et al**
White & Associates,
Windsor House,
Cornwall Road
Harrogate, North Yorkshire HG1 2PW (GB)

(56) References cited:
EP-A- 0 193 761 **WO-A-94/22025**
US-A- 4 980 844 **US-A- 5 305 235**

• **PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 13, no. 104**
(P-842), 13 March 1989 & JP,A,63 282881
(MITSUO MITA), 18 November 1988

Note: Within nine months from the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent, any person may give notice to the European Patent Office of opposition to the European patent granted. Notice of opposition shall be filed in a written reasoned statement. It shall not be deemed to have been filed until the opposition fee has been paid. (Art. 99(1) European Patent Convention).

Description

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

[0001] This invention relates to process control systems, and more particularly, to a system for determining whether a process parameter is in a steady or unsteady state through a use of the parameter's power spectral density.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[0002] In controlling dynamic plant processes, it is often necessary to know whether process variables are in a steady state or in an unsteady state. While, many process control systems monitor plant variables and compare them against predetermined set points, it is often more important to know whether deviations of a plant variable, over time, away from a setpoint are significantly different from normal. It is also important to be able to predict whether a plant parameter output exhibits an incipient condition which may lead to an unsteady state.

[0003] The prior art contains many teachings concerning plant parameter monitoring for process control applications. In U.S. Patent 4,744,041 to Strunk et al., the steady state speed of a dc motor is detected through the use of fast Fourier transform analysis. Strunk et al employ a current sensor which measures the current in a test motor and sends the sampled current signal as data to a computer. The computer then samples and stores measured instantaneous current values at plurality of discrete times and performs a fast Fourier transform on the steady state current to determine its power spectral density. Based on the computed power spectral density, the speed of the motor is determined by detecting the frequency at which maximum power is used.

[0004] Kato et al., in U.S. Patent 4,303,979, disclose a system for monitoring frequency spectrum variations in output signals. The system initially determines an RMS average frequency value for an input signal. In addition, it determines RMS values for each of a plurality of frequency subranges within the input signal. The system then monitors an input test signal and obtains its RMS value and the average frequency of the overall input signal. If the determined reference and test frequencies differ substantially in their RMS and average frequency values, the RMS value and average frequency of an anomalous frequency component peak is calculated. The average of the anomalous frequency component is then compared to boundary frequency values to determine in which frequency range the anomalous value lies. The RMS value of the thus determined frequency range and the average frequency are then employed to determine correction parameters.

[0005] Grassart, in U.S. Patent 4,965,757 discloses a process and device for decoding a received, encoded signal. The received signal is first filtered, sampled and digitized before being stored. Digitized samples of each

successive signal block are transposed to the frequency domain by a fast Fourier transform. The thus computed spectra are compared with stored theoretical values for each possible code signal in order to identify the received encoded signal.

[0006] Schmidt, in U.S. Patent 3,883,726, discloses a fast Fourier transform algorithm computer that utilizes an attenuated input data window. An input buffer receives input time samples, a cosine square attenuator superimposes a cosine squared shape on the input data samples, and then the resultant signal is passed to the fast Fourier analysis computer. A delay device, adders and an output buffer are provided for removing the effect of the attenuating input data window.

[0007] Toda et al, in U.S. Patent 4,975,633, disclose a spectrum analyzer that displays spectrum data and power values of an RF or optical signal. An input signal is directed down one path where it is subjected to a spectrum analysis, and down a second path where its power value is determined. Display means indicates both the spectrum data and the power value.

[0008] Murphy et al., in U.S. Patent 5,087,873, disclose apparatus for detecting a corrosion state of buried metallic pipe. In Figs. 4-7, a spectrum analyzer receives input signals from a pair of magnetometers. The magnetic field, as a function of frequency, is determined by conducting a fast Fourier transform on the received signals, with the resulting spectrum indicating the amplitude and phase of the magnetic field. Those values are used to determine the condition of the buried pipe.

[0009] Demjanenko et al. in U.S. Patent 4,980,844 teach a method for diagnosing mechanical conditions of a machine. The Demjanenko et al. procedure is computationally complex and involves the creation of one or more sets of reference signatures (those reference signatures may be power spectrum data). Similar test data is then acquired and a comparison action occurs. The procedure averages the test and reference signatures, determines a distance therebetween (i.e. the Euclidean distance), compares that calculated distance against a computed threshold value, and classifies the distance as being normal or abnormal based upon the comparison. The threshold is established as a function of the mean reference distance and reference and test standard deviations.

[0010] Toshiba Japanese patent application S62-245931 describes a procedure for determining abnormalities in a rotating pump. A plurality of reference frequency spectra are stored in memory (called restriction patterns), each reference frequency spectrum indicative of an operational state of the pump under different load conditions. To conserve memory, a "bias" procedure is employed to modify a closest reference frequency spectrum to bring it into the load (i.e. power) range of a test frequency spectrum taken from the pump. If the test frequency spectrum exceeds limits determined from the "biased" reference frequency spectrum, an alarm is given. The Toshiba system thus re-

quires storage of a plurality of reference frequency spectra and accurate modification of a chosen spectrum by application of a bias value thereto to enable its comparison with a test spectrum. Misadjustment of the bias can negate the effectiveness of the test system.

[0011] Bartusiak et al. in PCT published Application WO 94/22025 describe a process signal detection technique that is dependent upon power spectral densities. Reference data is accumulated while a plant operates at a steady state and includes the energy content of each of a plurality of frequency components. A current operational database is then established by sampling a process variable when the plant is in operation. The sampled current output signal is analyzed to derive current data that includes the energy content of each of a plurality of frequency components. For each common frequency component of the reference data and current data, the system makes a comparison of the energy contents and issues a non-steady state signal if a difference between the compared energy contents exceeds a predetermined limit.

[0012] Accordingly, it is an object of this invention to provide a method for determining when a process variable output signal is in a steady state condition.

[0013] It is another object of this invention to provide a method for analysis of a parameter output signal to indicate an incipient unsteady state.

[0014] It is still another of this invention to provide a plant parameter output signal detection technique that is dependent upon power spectral densities.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0015] A method for determining a current state of a process variable output signal from a plant and for determining whether the output signal is within acceptable limits, the method comprising the steps of:

(a) establishing reference comparison data for said process variable by sampling a reference output signal over a first period of time when said plant is operating at steady state, each sampled reference output signal being subjected to a first normalisation procedure to convert each sampled signal to a zero mean basis;

(b) processing the normalised sampled output signal to derive reference spectral data for said process variable, said reference spectral data manifesting an energy content for each of a plurality of frequency components of the normalised sampled output signal, each reference spectral data value being subjected to a second normalisation procedure, wherein each spectral data value is divided by a sample time related value, a sample time being a window of time during which an output signal is sampled in step (a) in preparation for deriving reference spectral data values therefor;

(c) storing said reference spectral data;

(d) establishing current operational data for said process variable by sampling a current output signal for said process variable over a second period of time when said plant is in operation, each sampled current output signal being subjected to said first normalisation procedure to convert each sampled signal to a zero mean basis;

(e) processing the normalised sampled current output signal to derive current spectral data therefrom, said current spectral data manifesting an energy content of each of a plurality of frequency components of the normalised sampled current output signal, each current spectral data value being subjected to said second normalisation procedure, wherein each spectral data value is divided by a sample time related value, a sample time being a window of time during which an output signal is sampled in step (d) in preparation for deriving current spectral data values therefor; and

(f) for each of a plurality of common frequency components of said reference spectral data and current spectral data, comparing normalised energy contents therefor and issuing a signal if said comparing indicates that the energy content of any frequency component of said current spectral data exceeds the energy content of a common frequency component of said reference spectral data by a predetermined energy threshold.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0016]

Fig. 1 is a block diagram of a system for performing the method of the invention;

Figs. 2a and 2b are high level flow diagrams illustrating the method of the invention;

Fig. 3 is a plot of a variation in flow over time in an exemplary plant;

Fig. 4 is a semi-log plot of energy versus frequency derived from the signal shown in Fig. 3;

Fig. 5 is a plot of flow vs. time showing a negative-going ramp disturbance;

Fig. 6 is a semi-log plot of energy vs. frequency of the plot of Fig. 5 indicating the that the ramp disturbance produces the most severe violation of plotted energy thresholds in the low frequency range;

Fig. 7 is a plot of flow vs. time showing a "U" type

disturbance in the flow signal;

Fig. 8 is a semi-log plot of energy vs. frequency of the plot of Fig. 7 indicating, at one energy threshold, that a disturbance exceeds the threshold.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0017] The system and method for carrying out the invention determine whether a plant process is operating within a steady state or a non steady state condition. In brief, the system determines a reference power spectral density (PSD) of a process variable, during a time that the process variable is in a steady state. A power spectral density is a representation of an energy content of a signal as a function of its component frequencies and is computed via a fast Fourier transform (FFT) which converts a time-domain signal into its frequency-domain representation.

[0018] The signal from which the reference PSD is derived is subjected to a first normalization procedure to derive a zero mean basis for the signal. This normalization is accomplished by subtracting the mean process variable value of a time series of sample data values from each time series sample data value. A second normalization procedure is performed on the spectral data by dividing each power value in the spectrum by the number of time series signal samples used to compute the spectrum. The first normalization procedure enables a single stored reference PSD to be used as a reference in all subsequent test procedures and eliminates the need for plural reference spectra or for a biasing procedure to adjust the reference spectra. The second normalization procedure enables the reference PSD to be compared to a current PSD, even in the event that the time windows differ during which the current and reference PSD's are derived.

[0019] The reference PSD is compared with a current PSD derived when the process variable is in operation. The current PSD is also subjected to the first and second normalization procedures described above with respect to the reference PSD. If the current PSD is too energetic (either with respect to slow changes like ramps or with respect to fast changes like spikes) relative to the reference PSD, the process variable is determined to be unsteady and such condition is signaled.

[0020] Turning to Fig. 1, flow monitors 10 and 12 continuously monitor the state of flow in a pair of pipes 14 and 16, respectively. Pipes 14 and 16 form portions of a plant whose process variables are continuously monitored to determine if any one has moved from a steady state to a unsteady state. Those skilled in the art will realize that the representation of inputs from pipes 14 and 16 is merely exemplary and that a plurality of other types of system variables (e.g. pressure, volume, temperature, etc.) can be monitored. The outputs from each of flow monitors 10 and 12 are fed to respectively connected analog to digital converters (A/D) 18, 20 whose

outputs are, in turn, connected to a bus 22 that forms the main communication pathway in a control data processing system.

[0021] A central processing unit (CPU) 24 is interconnected with bus 22 and also is connected to A/D converters 18 and 20 to enable timed, sample signals to be derived therefrom. A read only memory (ROM) 25 is connected to bus 22 and contains a procedure for operating CPU 24 to monitor sensors 10, 12 and a procedure for enabling CPU 24 to perform a fast Fourier transform (FFT) of input data received from A/D converters 18 and 20. A random access memory (RAM) 26 is connected to bus 22 and contains allocated memory for storing: raw input data from A/D converters 18 and 20; reference PSD data determined during a time that a process variable being monitored is in a steady state; and current PSD data that is determined when the process variable is being currently monitored.

[0022] The operation of the system of Fig. 1 will now be described in conjunction with the flow diagram shown in Figs. 2a and 2b. Initially, a steady state (i.e. reference) PSD is determined for a monitored process variable. The steady state PSD is derived by initially time sampling a process variable output signal when the plant is operating in a steady state condition (box 30). To avoid contamination of the steady state PSD, the time sampled output signal is filtered to remove aperiodic signals therefrom (box 32). The filtered output samples are then normalized to a zero mean basis by subtracting the mean process variable value of a time series of sample data values from each time series sample data value. This eliminates any bias that is operating-state dependent (box 33).

[0023] The filtered, normalized periodic sample signals are then subjected to an FFT analysis by central processor 24, under control of a procedure read out from ROM 24 (box 34). A second normalization procedure eliminates any bias that results from the time window during which the time series samples were detected. The second normalization procedure divides each spectral frequency data value by the number of time samples so as to achieve a "time" normalization of the frequency data.

[0024] The result of the PSD analysis is a series of normalized energy values at frequencies (ω_i) for the filtered steady state signal, each normalized energy value at a frequency (ω_i) having an attribute associated therewith that is proportional to the energy contained in the particular frequency signal. Those frequencies and energy value attributes are stored in RAM 26 as steady state (or reference) PSD data.

[0025] At this point, a user-supplied multiplier factor is accessed that is to be applied to each energy value attribute of the steady state PSD. The multiplier factor enables the derivation of an energy threshold which is the amount that a process variable's current PSD must exceed a reference PSD to be considered in an unsteady state (box 36).

[0026] The system of Fig. 1 now switches to a "current" monitor state wherein it monitors the outputs of sensors 10, 12, etc. During the time that any one sensor is monitored, its output is converted by an FFT procedure to a PSD representation which is then compared with the previously derived steady state PSD for the same sensor (i.e. is stored in RAM 26). CPU 24 commences the current monitor state by sampling output signals from a sensor (e.g. 10) during operation of the plant (Box 38). After sufficient samples have been accumulated, CPU 24 filters the sampled current output signal to remove aperiodic components (box 39), normalizes the filtered output signal to a zero-mean basis (identically as with the reference PSD) (box 40) and then computes a PSD(ω_i) for the sampled current output signal using an FFT procedure (box 41). Each spectral frequency data value is now divided by the number of time series samples to achieve a "time" normalization of the frequency data. Time normalizing of both reference and current PSD data enables those data to be compared even if different time windows are used during their detection.

[0027] It is now determined whether any current PSD (ω_i) is greater than its corresponding steady state PSD (ω_j), multiplied by the user-inputted multiplier factor (boxes 42,44). If yes, the system outputs the value of ω_i at which the maximum energy ratio is found and a signal is issued that the process variable is in a non steady state condition (box 46).

[0028] If the decision indicated in decision box 44 is that no current PSD(ω_i) exceeds the multiplier factor times the steady state PSD(ω_j), then it is determined that the sampled current output signal is in a steady state condition and no further action is required. At this point, the procedure terminates and CPU 24 may then monitor another current process variable signal and the procedure is repeated.

[0029] Figs. 3-8 contain examples of the procedure described above. In Fig. 3, a process variable (flow) is plotted over a period of several hours (126 minutes). Each dot on the plot trace evidences a sampled flow value that is input to the system. Prior to monitoring the current flow values, a reference flow during steady state of the same process variable was monitored and a reference PSD was derived (e.g. dotted trace 100 in Fig. 4). Reference PSD trace 100 has been quantized into ten "bins" so as to enable averaged comparisons to be made. The PSD for the signal of Fig. 3 is then calculated (trace 102) and is seen to exhibit considerable variations in power over the frequency spectrum.

[0030] Turning to Fig. 5, a ramp disturbance 103 is plotted (flow vs. time). Furthermore, for exemplary purposes only, it is assumed that two multiplier factors (e.g. 1.0 and 1.5) have been utilized to derive energy PSD thresholds 108, 110 respectively. The conversion of ramp signal 103 to a PSD results in energy versus frequency trace 106 shown in Fig. 6. Note that the ramp disturbance 103 produces the most severe violation of

both PSD energy thresholds 108 and 110 in the low frequency range. Under such conditions, an alarm is generated. The system may be adjusted such that an alarm is inhibited from issuance if only energy threshold 108 is exceeded, however the frequencies at which the energy exceeds threshold 108 may be output for user monitoring of a possibly incipient instability mode.

[0031] In Fig. 7, a "U" disturbance 113 is depicted in a plot of flow versus time. Over the span of the time during which the flow is monitored in Fig. 7, the flow difference is not large and yet it is clear that a disturbance does occur. As shown by Fig. 8, such disturbance is picked up by comparison of the peak corresponding PSD trace 112 with energy threshold 114.

[0032] It should be understood that the foregoing description is only illustrative of the invention. Various alternatives and modifications can be devised by those skilled in the art without departing from the invention. Accordingly, the present invention is intended to embrace all such alternatives, modifications and variances which fall within the scope of the appended claims.

Claims

1. A method for determining a current state of a process variable output signal from a plant and for determining whether the output signal is within acceptable limits, the method comprising the steps of:

(a) establishing reference comparison data for said process variable by sampling a reference output signal over a first period of time when said plant is operating at steady state, each sampled reference output signal being subjected to a first normalisation procedure to convert each sampled signal to a zero mean basis;

(b) processing the normalised sampled output signal to derive reference spectral data for said process variable, said reference spectral data manifesting an energy content for each of a plurality of frequency components of the normalised sampled output signal, each reference spectral data value being subjected to a second normalisation procedure, wherein each spectral data value is divided by a sample time related value, a sample time being a window of time during which an output signal is sampled in step (a) in preparation for deriving reference spectral data values therefor;

(c) storing said reference spectral data;

(d) establishing current operational data for said process variable by sampling a current output signal for said process variable over a second period of time when said plant is in op-

eration, each sampled current output signal being subjected to said first normalisation procedure to convert each sampled signal to a zero mean basis;

(e) processing the normalised sampled current output signal to derive current spectral data therefrom, said current spectral data manifesting an energy content of each of a plurality of frequency components of the normalised sampled current output signal, each current spectral data value being subjected to said second normalisation procedure, wherein each spectral data value is divided by a sample time related value, a sample time being a window of time during which an output signal is sampled in step (d) in preparation for deriving current spectral data values therefor; and

(f) for each of a plurality of common frequency components of said reference spectral data and current spectral data, comparing normalised energy contents therefor and issuing a signal if said comparing indicates that the energy content of any frequency component of said current spectral data exceeds the energy content of a common frequency component of said reference spectral data by a predetermined energy threshold.

2. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein said sample time related value is a number of samples of an output signal taken during said window of time.
3. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein step (f) further indicates the common frequency for which said signal is issued.
4. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein said analyzing steps (b) and (e) perform Fourier analyses of said sampled output signals to determine the frequency components and energy content of each thereof.
5. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein said signal is only issued in step (f) if the energy content in a frequency component of said current data exceeds the energy content of a common frequency component of said reference data, multiplied by a set factor.
6. The method as recited in claim 1 wherein, in step (f), said signal is issued after a ratio of the energy contents of each of said common frequency components is determined and it is determined that at least one said ratio exceeds a predetermined factor.

Patentansprüche

1. Verfahren zum Bestimmen des aktuellen Zustands eines Ausgangssignals einer Prozessvariablen aus einer Anlage und zum Bestimmen, ob das Ausgangssignal innerhalb akzeptabler Grenzen liegt, bei dem in Stufen:

(a) für die Prozessvariable Referenzvergleichsdaten ermittelt werden, indem über einen ersten Zeitraum, wenn die Anlage im stationären Zustand arbeitet, ein Referenzausgangssignal abgetastet wird, wobei jedes abgetastete Referenzausgangssignal einem ersten Normalisierungsverfahren unterworfen wird, um jedes abgetastete Signal in eine Null-Mittelwertbasis umzuwandeln,

(b) das normalisierte, abgetastete Ausgangssignal zur Ableitung von Referenzspektraldaten für die Prozessvariable verarbeitet wird, wobei die Referenzspektraldaten für jede einer Vielzahl von Frequenzkomponenten des normalisierten, abgetasteten Ausgangssignals einen Energiegehalt anzeigen, wobei jeder Referenzspektraldatenwert einem zweiten Normalisierungsverfahren unterworfen wird, wobei jeder Spektraldatenwert durch einen mit der Abtastzeit verknüpften Wert geteilt wird, wobei Abtastzeit ein Zeitfenster ist, währenddessen ein Ausgangssignal in Stufe (a) bei der Vorbereitung zur Ableitung von Referenzspektraldatenwerten für dieses abgetastet wird,

(c) die Referenzspektraldaten gespeichert werden,

(d) aktuelle Betriebsdaten für die Prozessvariable ermittelt werden, indem ein aktuelles Ausgangssignal für die Prozessvariable über einen zweiten Zeitraum, wenn die Anlage in Betrieb ist, abgetastet wird, wobei jedes abgetastete aktuelle Ausgangssignal dem ersten Normalisierungsverfahren unterworfen wird, um jedes abgetastete Signal in eine Null-Mittelwertbasis umzuwandeln,

(e) das normalisierte, abgetastete aktuelle Ausgangssignal zur Ableitung aktueller Spektraldaten von diesem verarbeitet wird, wobei die aktuellen Spektraldaten einen Energiegehalt von jeder von einer Vielzahl von Frequenzkomponenten des normalisierten, abgetasteten aktuellen Ausgangssignals anzeigen, wobei jeder aktuelle Spektraldatenwert dem zweiten Normalisierungsverfahren unterworfen wird, wobei jeder Spektraldatenwert durch einen mit der Abtastzeit verknüpften Wert geteilt

wird, wobei Abtastzeit ein Zeitfenster ist, währenddessen ein Ausgangssignal in Stufe (d) bei der Vorbereitung der Ableitung von aktuellen Spektraldatenwerten für dieses abgetastet wird, und

5

(f) für jede einer Vielzahl von gemeinsamen Frequenzkomponenten der Referenzspektral-
daten und der aktuellen Spektraldaten die nor-
malisierten Energiegehalte für diese verglichen
werden und ein Signal ausgegeben wird, falls
der Vergleich zeigt, dass der Energiegehalt ir-
gendeiner Frequenzkomponente der aktuellen
Spektraldaten den Energiegehalt einer ge-
meinsamen Frequenzkomponente der Refer-
enzspektraldaten um einen vorbestimmten
Energieschwellenwert überschreitet.

10

15

2. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei dem der mit der
Abtastzeit verknüpfte Wert eine Reihe von Abtast-
werten eines Ausgangssignals ist, die während des
Zeitfensters aufgenommen werden.

20

3. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei dem Stufe (f) ferner
die gemeinsame Frequenz anzeigt, für die das Si-
gnal ausgegeben wird.

25

4. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, bei dem die analysie-
renden Stufen (b) und (e) Fourier-Analysen der ab-
getasteten Ausgangssignale durchführen, um die
Frequenzkomponenten und den Energiegehalt von
jedem derselben zu bestimmen.

30

5. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei das Signal in
Stufe (f) nur ausgegeben wird, falls der Energiege-
halt in einer Frequenzkomponente der aktuellen
Daten den Energiegehalt einer gemeinsamen Fre-
quenzkomponente der Referenzdaten, multipliziert
mit einem vorgegebenen Faktor, überschreitet.

35

40

6. Verfahren nach Anspruch 1, wobei in Stufe (f) das
Signal ausgegeben wird, nachdem ein Verhältnis
der Energiegehalte von jeder der gemeinsamen
Frequenzkomponenten bestimmt worden ist und es
bestimmt worden ist, dass zumindest ein solches
Verhältnis einen vorgegebenen Faktor überschrei-
tet.

45

Revendications

50

1. Procédé pour déterminer un état en cours d'un si-
gnal de sortie de variable de processus d'une in-
stallation et pour déterminer si le signal de sortie ren-
tre à l'intérieur de limites acceptables, le procédé
comprenant les étapes suivantes :

55

(a) l'établissement de données de comparai-

son de référence pour ladite variable de pro-
cessus en échantillonnant un signal de sortie
de référence au cours d'une première période
de temps pendant laquelle ladite installation
fonctionne à l'état stable, chaque signal de sor-
tie de référence échantillonné étant soumis à
une première procédure de normalisation pour
convertir chaque signal échantillonné sur une
base de moyenne zéro ;

(b) le traitement du signal de sortie échantillon-
né normalisé pour dériver des données spec-
trales de référence pour ladite variable de pro-
cessus, lesdites données spectrales de réfé-
rence manifestant un contenu d'énergie pour
chacune d'une pluralité de composantes de fré-
quence du signal de sortie échantillonné nor-
malisé, chaque valeur de données spectrales
de référence étant soumise à une deuxième
procédure de normalisation, dans laquelle cha-
que valeur de données spectrales est divisée
par une valeur liée à un temps d'échantillonna-
ge, un temps d'échantillonnage étant une fenê-
tre de temps pendant laquelle un signal de sor-
tie est échantillonné au cours de l'étape (a) en
vue d'une préparation pour dériver des valeurs
de données spectrales de référence pour celui-
ci ;

(c) la mémorisation desdites données spectra-
les de référence ;

(d) l'établissement de données de fonctionne-
ment en cours pour ladite variable de proces-
sus en échantillonnant un signal de sortie en
cours pour ladite variable de processus au
cours d'une deuxième période de temps lors-
que ladite installation est en fonctionnement,
chaque signal de sortie en cours échantillonné
étant soumis à ladite première procédure de
normalisation pour convertir chaque signal
échantillonné sur une base de moyenne zéro ;

(e) le traitement du signal de sortie en cours
échantillonné normalisé afin de dériver des
données spectrales en cours à partir de celui-
ci, lesdites données spectrales en cours mani-
festant un contenu d'énergie de chacune d'une
pluralité de composantes de fréquence du si-
gnal de sortie en cours échantillonné normali-
sé, chaque valeur de données spectrales en
cours étant soumise à ladite deuxième procé-
dure de normalisation, dans laquelle chaque
valeur de données spectrales est divisée par
une valeur liée à un temps d'échantillonnage,
un temps d'échantillonnage étant une fenê-
tre de temps pendant laquelle un signal de sortie
est échantillonné au cours de l'étape (d) lors de
la préparation pour dériver des valeurs de don-
nées spectrales en cours pour celui-ci ; et

(f) pour chacune d'une pluralité de composan-
tes de fréquence communes desdites données

spectrales de référence et desdites données spectrales en cours, la comparaison de contenus d'énergie normalisés pour celles-ci et la délivrance d'un signal si ladite comparaison indique que le contenu d'énergie d'une quelconque composante de fréquence desdites données spectrales en cours dépasse le contenu d'énergie d'une composante de fréquence commune desdites données spectrales de référence d'un seuil d'énergie prédéterminé.

5

10

2. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ladite valeur liée à un temps d'échantillonnage est un nombre d'échantillons d'un signal de sortie extrait durant ladite fenêtre de temps. 15
3. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel l'étape (f) indique de plus la fréquence commune pour laquelle ledit signal est délivré. 20
4. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel lesdites étapes d'analyse (b) et (e) effectuent des analyses de Fourier desdits signaux de sortie échantillonnés afin de déterminer les composantes de fréquence et le contenu d'énergie de chacune de celles-ci. 25
5. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel ledit signal est délivré uniquement lors de l'étape (f) si le contenu d'énergie dans une composante de fréquence desdites données en cours dépasse le contenu d'énergie d'une composante de fréquence commune desdites données de référence, multiplié par un facteur déterminé. 30 35
6. Procédé selon la revendication 1, dans lequel, au cours de l'étape (f), ledit signal est délivré après qu'un rapport des contenus d'énergie de chacune desdites composantes de fréquence commune ait été déterminé, et il est déterminé qu'au moins l'un desdits rapports dépasse un facteur prédéterminé. 40

45

50

55

FIG. 1




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B






FIG. 3




BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIG. 4




BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIG. 5




BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIG. 6




BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIG. 7



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIG. 8



BEST AVAILABLE COPY